

Best Practices Task Force

Las Vegas, NV Tuesday October 31, 2006



Task Force Members

George Avery Stacy Bartnik Bob Falco Craig Griffin Gene Hamilton Aaron Schulenburg Chuck Sulkala Roger Wright

State Farm CARSTAR CARSTAR Laney's Collision Centre **Sports and Imports** Bill Denny's CARSTAR Acme Body and Paint AIG





Request for information via e-mail

Response received



Panel Review of Industry Findings

Facilitator: Beryl Carlew

 Carlew and Associates LLC
 Commitment to DRP Excellence Research with Collision Repair Operators



Commitment to DRP Excellence

A special presentation for CIC October 31, 2006

Commitment to DRP Excellence Today's Panelists:

- Elainna Sachire: SOS
- Marsh Gluchow: CVG
- Tom Griffin: CVG
- John Beckworth: CVG
- Al Kollinger: CVG

- Mike Bisig: BASF
- Mark Algie: 3M
- Steve Feltovich: Sherwin Williams
- Mike Sachire: SOS

The DRP challenge

• "Today's multiple DRP programs, with a myriad of operational and reporting guidelines often impede shop productivity," said CVG Group V President Marsh Gluchow, owner of Valley Motor Center in Van Nuys, Calif. "Asking a shop to respond to different criteria often leads to delays, mistakes, and confusion. While we share the insurance community's goal of improved CSI, cycle time, and cost-effective repair, the current system is disjointed. If we can reduce the variables in DRP programs, body shops AND insurance companies can only benefit."



About our research

Facilitated by Carlew and Associates LLC and Square One Systems Inc.

Objective: solicit feedback from collision repair owners on the DRP process, and how to create a win-win-win scenario for the three parties:



The process

Conducted research in 20 different North American cities Research co-sponsored by Coyote Vision Group $\diamond 3M$ ♦ BASF ♦ Enterprise Rent-a-Car Sherwin Williams



The Report

- 130+ page summary shared with sponsors;
- Detailed comments on each market, including carrier specific observations;
- Comprehensive improvement ideas collected in each city;
- 8 page Executive Summary outlining the WHY-WHAT-HOW-WHO, and the Suggestions for Standardization of DRP



The Format

Each confidential session followed the same format, and included shop owners and sponsors.
 The DRP process was segmented into three areas

- of review:
 - The pre-repair administration: FNOL to authorization.
 - The repair process
 - The post repair administration



Where did we go

- Phoenix
- Irvine, CA
- Baltimore
- Hartford
- Buffalo
- Detroit
- Portland
- Seattle
- San Francisco
- Tampa

- Atlanta
- Chicago
- Minneapolis
- Cleveland
- Houston
- Charlotte
- St. Louis
- Dallas
- Toronto
- Calgary

About the attendees

- ✓ 386 people attended the sessions
- ✓ Combined experience: 5,337 years
- Shops were active with over 100 different DRPs
- ✓ Collision owners operated 712 locations
- ✓ Combined sales volume: \$1,718,800,000
- Average sales per collision center: \$2.4M
 Average owner has 23.6 years of industry experience



What keeps you awake at night? (Overall Industry Observations)

- KPI's are constantly changing and we don't know which one's are most important;
- Stop changing the rules in the middle of the repair; be on the same page.
- Lack of respect;
- Parts: availability/quality/cycle time;
- How to become pro-active rather than reactive;

- > How can you be great with one adjuster and terrible with another?
- Losing sight of the consumer needs;
- Faster-Faster-Faster: quality?
- No loyalty whatsoever;
- What have the carriers done to earn a discount?
- > How can I diversify when claims are down?

- Being de-selected without notice from a DRP;
- > How big a part of my business should this DRP become?
- "Doing more for less";
- How to keep my staff focused and motivated?
- > Are all the metrics valid?



- Total lack of expertise of the insurance staff;
- > What is the "theme" of the month?
- Do I have enough...and can I get it done?
- > No real data or reports from the carrier;
- They tell us what to use, and we incur the liability;



Losing sleep over the loss of freedom to be an entrepreneur;

Insurance company buying parts;

MSO contracts with carriers;

Shops that do not meet the standards: why do carriers use them?



Research Summary

- Too many proprietary DRP programs, causing administrative challenges at the collision center;
- Lack of training on program guidelines and priorities for all involved;
- Inconsistent program rules;
- Lack of timely parts availability negatively impacts cycle time;



Research Summary

- Inconsistent volume creates staffing and training challenges at the shop level;
- Lack of written feedback on shop DRP performance creates confusion/mistrust;
- Changing DRP rules/priorities, at times weekly, and often verbal, create friction/ disrespect/ confusion/ mistakes;
- Shops selected to participate do not meet program criteria;



Research Recommendations Pre Repair Administration

- Put the DRP guidelines on a secure website;
- Test and certify all personnel involved;
- DRP priorities: safety/quality/CSI/cycle time;
- Warm three way customer transfer from the call center during normal business hours;

Accurate and complete uploads of FNOL;

Research Recommendations

Pre Repair Administration (continued)

- Off hour transfers contacted by noon next day, 7 days per week;
- Call centers will instruct DRP customers they are going to the shop for a <u>repair</u>;
- Quality parts will be available, regardless of type, within 24 hours of ordering;



Research Recommendations

Repair Process

- Complete blueprint will be written;
- All parts are ordered;
- Preferred customer communication will be determined and schedule established;
- Parts clean up over 1 hour billed to vendor or carrier, depending upon who selected

Research Recommendations

Post Repair Administration

- All performance reports available 24/7 via the website;
- Quarterly in person scheduled reviews;
- Clear corrective action program on the website;
- All final payments via EFT within 24hrs;
- Regular re-inspections, and all reports shared;



The Future: Reward Performance

- Performance contracts
- Shops will consider written performance contracts, with volume goals/guarantees;
- Establish performance commitments, as well as bonus/penalties/dismissal considerations;
- Shop can determine investments in training, equipment, creative operational solutions based upon contract terms and value.



The Vision

Insurance and collision repair professionals truly support the concept of a standard DRP process, and only customize the non-repair elements

- With the standard DRP process, the collision repair operators would focus on process improvements, providing better results
- The customer, voluntarily referred to the DRP partner for a repair, receives better results and clear communication: a win-win-win!

The Executive Summary

Available for purchase for \$300 per copy; Interested parties should contact: ♦ Elainna Sachire: esachire@square1sys.com ♦ 330-637-5265 ♦ Beryl Carlew: beryl@carlewassociates.com ♦ 913-481-4685



Thank you

On behalf of all members of Coyote Vision Group, as well as the co-sponsors, we thank you for allowing us to share our research.