

Ethical or Not Ethical, You Decide: A Survey for the Industry

Presentation by the Ethics Committee 07/25/2007



Committee Members

Chairman Chuck Sulkala Acme Body and Paint chucksulkala@msn.com

Vice Chairman Ron Reichen Precision Body & Paint ronr@precisionfirst.com



Committee Members

Chris Colo Tom Colo **Ron Enlow** George Eliades **Bill Garoutte Keith Manich Rick Menze**

Jeanne Silver **Bob Smith** Marcy Tieger Gary Wano Mark Woirol **Dave Woodcock** Andy Val



Mission Statement

The mission of the Ethics Committee is to review, consider and invite analysis of common (rather than exceptional) scenarios impacting all constituents in the collision repair process, in which reasonable minds have often differed, and attempt to build consensus in order to answer the question: "Is it ethical or not?"

Approach

- The list provided by the membership was distilled and duplicates were deleted
- Scenarios that were *obviously* unethical were removed from the list
- The focus was identification of scenarios where facts, laws, and/or agreements can be, and often are, manipulated or rationalized to serve the interests of a constituent in the repair process, often time to the detriment of another constituent

Questions

Ethics Questions, answer:

Ethical
Unethical
Depends on the situation
I don't know

Knowingly present an estimate without disclosing that it lacks necessary operations (underwritten estimates)?

Knowingly underestimate cycle times?

Infer that the vehicle owner cannot be put into a rental car until parts have been ordered?

Failure to disclose to the consumer that a DRP agreement may dictate certain repair procedures that are contrary to what the manufacturer or repair professional deem necessary to perform a quality repair?

Failure to disclose the use of alternative parts?

Providing commentary either positive or negative regarding the shop choice the vehicle owner has made?

Specify the use of a certified part and substitute a non certified part, and fail to disclose to the consumer?

Knowingly charge for labor times or parts that are incorrect, but argue entitlement based on the information listed in the database?

Infer that the vehicle owner will have to pay additional costs at their choice of shop?

Refusal to honor prevailing rates and markups and demanding parts discounts?

Charge for certain procedures even though that specific procedure is not going to be used in the repair?

Total a vehicle that is repairable to avoid having to negotiate with a particular shop?

Refusal to release a vehicle to collect additional fees, i.e. moving the vehicle to a different location, refusal to let appraiser see the vehicle etc?

Total the vehicle using non-repair coverages as part of the total loss calculation (i.e. car rental, stereo, towing etc. for which the consumer has paid a separate premium, all end loss cost versus physical damage only cost)?

- Require proof of purchase (invoices) in order to issue payment for repairs?
- Convert part replacement to labor without reflecting the change in the final paperwork?
- Refusal to pay for certain materials used, or operations performed, citing company policy as the reason?



Absorbing deductibles?
Discounting deductibles?
Allow only partial refinish and/or partial repair procedure on repair panels regardless of what the situation actually requires?

- Change database times or ignore p-page logic?
- Cover the cost of unpaid repairs from one operation or job to another (cost shifting)?
- Order a new part to get an invoice, use an alternative part or method of repair, and provide the new part invoice for billing purposes?



Charge for a time or procedure citing "manufacturer requirement" when it isn't?
Adjust repair times based on a photograph?



Results will be tallied and presented to the body of CIC at the end of the day. Thank you for your contribution!